



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,384	09/05/2003	J.Kirk Haselden	MSFT-2555/304784.1	9572
41505	7590	08/01/2008		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			PATEL, NIRAV B	
2929 ARCH STREET				
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/656,384	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HASELDEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NIRAV PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2135	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Oriti Joseph (Reg. No. 47835). (3) Nirav Patel.

(2) Mr. Parris Tracy (Reg. No. 46980). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Krishnan et al (US 6405316) and Golan (US 5974549).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview was to discuss the proposed draft amendment. In response to the discussion, Examiner further provided explanation on the Final rejection dated on 04/23/2008. The proposed draft amendment would require further consideration and/or thorough search, when filed, before any determination can be made.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nirav Patel/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required